

Yu Ming Charter

California Department of Education School Accountability Report Card Reported Using Data from the 2014-15 School Year

Published During 2015-16

By February 1 of each year, every school in California is required by state law to publish a School Accountability Report Card (SARC). The SARC contains information about the condition and performance of each California public school. Under the Local Control Funding Formula (LCFF) all local educational agencies (LEAs) are required to prepare a Local Control Accountability Plan (LCAP), which describes how they intend to meet annual school-specific goals for all pupils, with specific activities to address state and local priorities. Additionally, data reported in an LCAP is to be consistent with data reported in the SARC.

- For more information about SARC requirements, see the California Department of Education (CDE) SARC Web page at <http://www.cde.ca.gov/ta/ac/sa/>.
- For more information about the LCFF or LCAP, see the CDE LCFF Web page at <http://www.cde.ca.gov/fg/aa/lc/>.
- For additional information about the school, parents/guardians, and community members should contact the school principal or the district office.


DataQuest

DataQuest is an online data tool located on the [CDE DataQuest Web page](#) that contains additional information about this school and comparisons of the school to the district, the county, and the state. Specifically, DataQuest is a dynamic system that provides reports for accountability (e.g., test data, enrollment, high school graduates, dropouts, course enrollments, staffing, and data regarding English learners).

Internet Access

Internet access is available at public libraries and other locations that are publicly accessible (e.g., the California State Library). Access to the Internet at libraries and public locations is generally provided on a first-come, first-served basis. Other use restrictions may include the hours of operation, the length of time that a workstation may be used (depending on availability), the types of software programs available on a workstation, and the ability to print documents.

Sue Park, Head of School

 Principal, Yu Ming Charter

About Our School

Contact

Yu Ming Charter
1086 Alcatraz Ave.
Oakland, CA 94608-1265

Phone: 510-452-2063
E-mail: office@yumingschool.org

About This School

Contact Information - Most Recent Year

District Contact Information - Most Recent Year	
District Name	Alameda County Office of Education
Phone Number	(510) 887-0152
Superintendent	L Karen Monroe
E-mail Address	lkmonroe@acoe.org
Web Site	http://www.acoe.org

School Contact Information - Most Recent Year	
School Name	Yu Ming Charter
Street	1086 Alcatraz Ave.
City, State, Zip	Oakland, Ca, 94608-1265
Phone Number	510-452-2063
Principal	Sue Park, Head of School
E-mail Address	office@yumingschool.org
Web Site	www.yumingschool.org
County-District-School (CDS) Code	01100170124172

Last updated: 1/28/2016

School Description and Mission Statement - Most Recent Year

Yu Ming Charter School provides a challenging and comprehensive education for kindergarten through grade 5 students (with plans to become a K-8 school by 2019) preparing them to be inquisitive and analytic lifelong learners in the global 21st Century world. Our mission is:


- To provide an academically rigorous college preparatory program;
- To graduate students who are bilingual and bi-literate skills in Mandarin Chinese and English;
- To nurture intellectual curiosity, cultural competence, international perspective and diligence in attaining personal goals; and
- To develop young people with compassion, sound moral character and a sense of responsibility for the community and environment

Yu Ming Charter School aims to enroll students whose diversity is representative of Alameda County's general population.

Last updated: 2/1/2016

Student Enrollment by Grade Level (School Year 2014-15)


Grade Level	Number of Students
Kindergarten	52
Grade 1	54
Grade 2	52
Grade 3	52
Grade 4	47
Total Enrollment	257



Last updated: 1/28/2016

Student Enrollment by Student Group (School Year 2014-15)

Student Group	Percent of Total Enrollment
Black or African American	3.9 %
American Indian or Alaska Native	0.0 %
Asian	44.0 %
Filipino	1.9 %
Hispanic or Latino	4.7 %
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	0.0 %
White	8.9 %
Two or More Races	36.6 %
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	8.2 %
English Learners	15.6 %
Students with Disabilities	2.3 %
Foster Youth	0.0 %



Last updated: 1/28/2016

A. Conditions of Learning


State Priority: Basic

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: Basic (Priority 1):

- Degree to which teachers are appropriately assigned and fully credentialed in the subject area and for the pupils they are teaching;
- Pupils have access to standards-aligned instructional materials; and
- School facilities are maintained in good repair

Teacher Credentials


Teachers	School			District
	2013-14	2014-15	2015-16	2015-16
With Full Credential	11	13	14	
Without Full Credential	11	1	4	
Teachers Teaching Outside Subject Area of Competence (with full credential)	0	0	1	



Last updated: 1/28/2016

Teacher Misassignments and Vacant Teacher Positions

Indicator	2013-14	2014-15	2015-16
Misassignments of Teachers of English Learners	0	0	0
Total Teacher Misassignments*	0	0	0
Vacant Teacher Positions	0	0	0



Note: "Misassignments" refers to the number of positions filled by teachers who lack legal authorization to teach that grade level, subject area, student group, etc.

* Total Teacher Misassignments includes the number of Misassignments of Teachers of English learners.

Last updated: 1/28/2016

Core Academic Classes Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers (School Year 2014-15)

Location of Classes	Percent of Classes In Core Academic Subjects Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers	Percent of Classes In Core Academic Subjects Not Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers
This School	90.0%	10.0%
All Schools in District	89.0%	11.0%
High-Poverty Schools in District	89.0%	11.0%
Low-Poverty Schools in District	95.0%	5.0%

Note: High-poverty schools are defined as those schools with student eligibility of approximately 40 percent or more in the free and reduced price meals program. Low-poverty schools are those with student eligibility of approximately 39 percent or less in the free and reduced price meals program.

Quality, Currency, Availability of Textbooks and Instructional Materials - Most Recent Year

Year and month in which data were collected: December 2015

Subject	Textbooks and Instructional Materials/year of Adoption	From Most Recent Adoption?	Percent Students Lacking Own Assigned Copy
Reading/Language Arts	Houghton Mifflin (phonics) 2011; Lucy Calkins 2011 & Learning Headquarters (writing) 2015, Scholastic (guided reading) 2013 Shared Inquiry Great Books Foundation 2014; Lucy Calkins 2014 & Learning Headquarters (writing) 2015	Yes	0.0 %
Mathematics	Singapore Math, 2011 Trailblazers, 2015	Yes	0.0 %
Science	STC, Carolina Curriculum for Math & Science, 2014 FOSS, 2014	Yes	0.0 %
History-Social Science	Reflections, 2012 Chinese Materials from SFUSD, 2015	Yes	0.0 %
Foreign Language	Better Chinese, 2011 21st Century Modern Chinese Language (Supp), 2015 Better Immersion, 2015 Mei Zhou Hua Yu, 2015	Yes	0.0 %
Health			0.0 %
Visual and Performing Arts			0.0 %
Science Lab Eqpmt (Grades 9-12)			0.0 %

Last updated: 1/28/2016

School Facility Conditions and Planned Improvements - Most Recent Year

An inspection of the 1086 Alcatraz Avenue school site was conducted on February 13, 2013. The resulting FIT report gave the school a rating of 87.40% and "FAIR". The school received 100% in 'cleanliness' and 92.5% in safety.

The following "Tenant Improvements" include, but were not limited to: Lead abatement on external windows, mold testing and air scrubbing in the basement, installment of a security alarm, CCTV, intercom and fire alarm, rust removal and painting of the play structure, emergency exit doors fixed (where needed) light and electrical outlet repairs and interior painting, all fire extinguishers were re-filled. All of these improvements were completed before students moved in to the facility on February 25th 2013.

The two annex rooms, used as grade 3 classrooms last year and as a Wellness Center and , were inspected for seismic retrofitting over the 2013 summer break and were found to have already been re-fitted. The Annex's roof was re-covered and the gutters were fixed.

An inspection of the 6232 Herzog Street school site was conducted on July 21st, 2014 prior to the site being renovated. The resulting FIT report gave the school a rating of 81.73% and 'FAIR'. The school received GOOD in 'systems', 'safety' and 'structural', FAIR in 'cleanliness', 'electrical', 'restrooms' and 'external'. The primary area of concern was in 'interior surfaces' which received a rating of 'POOR'.

The following 'Tenant Improvements' were included but were not limited to; For building A & B: "All rooms must be deep cleaned and or painted prior to occupancy, rust stains in toilets must be removed, flush sink water systems to remove rust and install new filters, remove debris from floor drains". The courtyard black top was reported as 'uneven' and a 'potential tripping hazard'. For building A; some floor tiles were missing in multiple rooms and in others needed repairing, stall doors were missing from toilets. In building B; more floor tiles were missing or needed repairing; the kitchen needed a deep clean and painting; the floor drain in the kitchen needed un-clogging; toilets needed a deep cleaning. All Tenant Improvements recommended were addressed before students moved in. A deep clean was done throughout the property, the entire interior was professionally repainted, tiles were replaced and the carpet of building A was replaced rather than cleaned.

Last updated: 1/29/2016

School Facility Good Repair Status - Most Recent Year

Year and month in which data were collected: July 2014

System Inspected	Rating	Repair Needed and Action Taken or Planned
Systems: Gas Leaks, Mechanical/HVAC, Sewer	Good	
Interior: Interior Surfaces	Poor	Interior walls needing repainting. Walls were repainted prior to the start of school
Cleanliness: Overall Cleanliness, Pest/Vermin Infestation	Fair	Deep clean needed. Deep cleaning was completed prior to start of school
Electrical: Electrical	Fair	There was a light switch with an electrical short and a ceiling light needing repair. Both were repaired prior to students occupying the building
Restrooms/Fountains: Restrooms, Sinks/Fountains	Fair	Stall doors were missing and there was low pressure at the drinking fountains. OUSD came to address the pressure and we allocated bathroom use so as to not require stall doors to be fitted
Safety: Fire Safety, Hazardous Materials	Good	
Structural: Structural	Good	

Damage, Roofs		
External: Playground/School Grounds, Windows/Doors/Gates/Fences	Fair	Uneven blacktop surfaces created a tripping hazard. Areas of the blacktop identified were coal patched or re-surfaced prior to students occupying to the building.

Overall Facility Rate - Most Recent Year

Year and month in which data were collected: July 2014

Overall Rating	Fair
----------------	------

Last updated: 2/1/2016

B. Pupil Outcomes

State Priority: Pupil Achievement

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: Pupil Achievement (Priority 4):

- Statewide assessments (i.e., California Assessment of Student Performance and Progress [CAASPP], Science California Standards Tests); and
- The percentage of pupils who have successfully completed courses that satisfy the requirements for entrance to the University of California and the California State University, or career technical education sequences or programs of study

California Assessment of Student Performance and Progress Results for All Students (School Year 2014-15)

Subject	Percent of Students Meeting or Exceeding the State Standards		
	School	District	State
English Language Arts / Literacy (grades 3-8 and 11)	76.0%	2.0%	44.0%
Mathematics (grades 3-8 and 11)	88.0%	1.0%	33.0%

Note: Percentages are not calculated when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy. Double dashes (--) appear in the table when the number of students tested is ten or less.

Last updated: 1/22/2016

CAASPP Assessment Results - English Language Arts (ELA)**Disaggregated by Student Groups, Grades Three through Eight and Eleven (School Year 2014-15)****ELA - Grade 3**

Student Group	Total Enrollment	Number Tested	Percent Tested	Percent Achievement Level 1*	Percent Achievement Level 2*	Percent Achievement Level 3*	Percent Achievement Level 4*
All Students	53	52	98.1%	8.0%	21.0%	12.0%	60.0%
Male	53	26	49.1%	12.0%	27.0%	19.0%	42.0%
Female	53	26	49.1%	4.0%	15.0%	4.0%	77.0%
Black or African American	53	3	5.7%	--	--	--	--
American Indian or Alaska Native	0	0	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Asian	53	20	37.7%	10.0%	25.0%	20.0%	45.0%
Filipino	0	0	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Hispanic or Latino	53	5	9.4%	--	--	--	--
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	0	0	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
White	53	5	9.4%	--	--	--	--
Two or More Races	53	19	35.8%	0.0%	16.0%	5.0%	79.0%
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	53	2	3.8%	--	--	--	--
English Learners	53	4	7.5%	--	--	--	--
Students with Disabilities	53	1	1.9%	--	--	--	--
Students Receiving Migrant Education Services	0	0	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Foster Youth	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

Double dashes (--) appear in the table when the number of students is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

Note: The number of students tested includes students that did not receive a score; however the number of students tested is not the number that was used to calculate the achievement level percentages. The achievement level percentages are calculated using students with scores.

* Level 1 = Standard not met
 Level 2 = Standard nearly met
 Level 3 = Standard met
 Level 4 = Standard exceeded

Last updated: 1/22/2016

ELA - Grade 4

Student Group	Total Enrollment	Number Tested	Percent Tested	Percent Achievement Level 1*	Percent Achievement Level 2*	Percent Achievement Level 3*	Percent Achievement Level 4*
All Students	47	44	93.6%	5.0%	14.0%	11.0%	70.0%
Male	47	26	55.3%	8.0%	19.0%	19.0%	54.0%
Female	47	18	38.3%	0.0%	6.0%	0.0%	94.0%
Black or African American	47	1	2.1%	--	--	--	--
American Indian or Alaska Native	0	0	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Asian	47	18	38.3%	11.0%	22.0%	11.0%	56.0%
Filipino	47	1	2.1%	--	--	--	--
Hispanic or Latino	47	1	2.1%	--	--	--	--
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	0	0	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
White	47	5	10.6%	--	--	--	--
Two or More Races	47	18	38.3%	0.0%	6.0%	17.0%	78.0%
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	47	3	6.4%	--	--	--	--
English Learners	47	2	4.3%	--	--	--	--
Students with Disabilities	47	1	2.1%	--	--	--	--
Students Receiving Migrant Education Services	0	0	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Foster Youth	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

Double dashes (--) appear in the table when the number of students is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

Note: The number of students tested includes students that did not receive a score; however the number of students tested is not the number that was used to calculate the achievement level percentages. The achievement level percentages are calculated using students with scores.

- * Level 1 = Standard not met
- Level 2 = Standard nearly met
- Level 3 = Standard met
- Level 4 = Standard exceeded

Last updated: 1/22/2016

ELA - Grade 5

Student Group	Total Enrollment	Number Tested	Percent Tested	Percent Achievement Level 1*	Percent Achievement Level 2*	Percent Achievement Level 3*	Percent Achievement Level 4*
All Students	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Male	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Female	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Black or African American	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
American Indian or Alaska Native	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Asian	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Filipino	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Hispanic or Latino	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
White	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Two or More Races	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
English Learners	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Students with Disabilities	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Students Receiving Migrant Education Services	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Foster Youth	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

Double dashes (--) appear in the table when the number of students is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

Note: The number of students tested includes students that did not receive a score; however the number of students tested is not the number that was used to calculate the achievement level percentages. The achievement level percentages are calculated using students with scores.

- * Level 1 = Standard not met
- Level 2 = Standard nearly met
- Level 3 = Standard met
- Level 4 = Standard exceeded

Last updated: 1/22/2016

ELA- Grade 6

Student Group	Total Enrollment	Number Tested	Percent Tested	Percent Achievement Level 1*	Percent Achievement Level 2*	Percent Achievement Level 3*	Percent Achievement Level 4*
All Students	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Male	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Female	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Black or African American	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
American Indian or Alaska Native	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Asian	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Filipino	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Hispanic or Latino	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
White	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Two or More Races	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
English Learners	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Students with Disabilities	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Students Receiving Migrant Education Services	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Foster Youth	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

Double dashes (--) appear in the table when the number of students is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

Note: The number of students tested includes students that did not receive a score; however the number of students tested is not the number that was used to calculate the achievement level percentages. The achievement level percentages are calculated using students with scores.

- * Level 1 = Standard not met
- Level 2 = Standard nearly met
- Level 3 = Standard met
- Level 4 = Standard exceeded

Last updated: 1/22/2016

ELA - Grade 7

Student Group	Total Enrollment	Number Tested	Percent Tested	Percent Achievement Level 1*	Percent Achievement Level 2*	Percent Achievement Level 3*	Percent Achievement Level 4*
All Students	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Male	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Female	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Black or African American	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
American Indian or Alaska Native	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Asian	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Filipino	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Hispanic or Latino	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
White	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Two or More Races	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
English Learners	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Students with Disabilities	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Students Receiving Migrant Education Services	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Foster Youth	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

Double dashes (--) appear in the table when the number of students is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

Note: The number of students tested includes students that did not receive a score; however the number of students tested is not the number that was used to calculate the achievement level percentages. The achievement level percentages are calculated using students with scores.

- * Level 1 = Standard not met
- Level 2 = Standard nearly met
- Level 3 = Standard met
- Level 4 = Standard exceeded

Last updated: 1/22/2016

ELA - Grade 8

Student Group	Total Enrollment	Number Tested	Percent Tested	Percent Achievement Level 1*	Percent Achievement Level 2*	Percent Achievement Level 3*	Percent Achievement Level 4*
All Students	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Male	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Female	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Black or African American	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
American Indian or Alaska Native	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Asian	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Filipino	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Hispanic or Latino	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
White	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Two or More Races	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
English Learners	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Students with Disabilities	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Students Receiving Migrant Education Services	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Foster Youth	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

Double dashes (--) appear in the table when the number of students is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

Note: The number of students tested includes students that did not receive a score; however the number of students tested is not the number that was used to calculate the achievement level percentages. The achievement level percentages are calculated using students with scores.

- * Level 1 = Standard not met
- Level 2 = Standard nearly met
- Level 3 = Standard met
- Level 4 = Standard exceeded

Last updated: 1/22/2016

ELA - Grade 11

Student Group	Total Enrollment	Number Tested	Percent Tested	Percent Achievement Level 1*	Percent Achievement Level 2*	Percent Achievement Level 3*	Percent Achievement Level 4*
All Students	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Male	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Female	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Black or African American	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
American Indian or Alaska Native	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Asian	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Filipino	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Hispanic or Latino	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
White	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Two or More Races	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
English Learners	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Students with Disabilities	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Students Receiving Migrant Education Services	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Foster Youth	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

Double dashes (--) appear in the table when the number of students is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

Note: The number of students tested includes students that did not receive a score; however the number of students tested is not the number that was used to calculate the achievement level percentages. The achievement level percentages are calculated using students with scores.

- * Level 1 = Standard not met
- Level 2 = Standard nearly met
- Level 3 = Standard met
- Level 4 = Standard exceeded

Last updated: 1/22/2016

CAASPP Assessment Results - Mathematics**Disaggregated by Student Groups, Grades Three through Eight and Eleven (School Year 2014-15)****Mathematics - Grade 3**

Student Group	Total Enrollment	Number Tested	Percent Tested	Percent Achievement Level 1*	Percent Achievement Level 2*	Percent Achievement Level 3*	Percent Achievement Level 4*
All Students	53	52	98.1%	4.0%	4.0%	35.0%	58.0%
Male	53	26	49.1%	8.0%	4.0%	42.0%	46.0%
Female	53	26	49.1%	0.0%	4.0%	27.0%	69.0%
Black or African American	53	3	5.7%	--	--	--	--
American Indian or Alaska Native	0	0	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Asian	53	20	37.7%	0.0%	5.0%	45.0%	50.0%
Filipino	0	0	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Hispanic or Latino	53	5	9.4%	--	--	--	--
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	0	0	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
White	53	5	9.4%	--	--	--	--
Two or More Races	53	19	35.8%	0.0%	0.0%	21.0%	79.0%
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	53	2	3.8%	--	--	--	--
English Learners	53	4	7.5%	--	--	--	--
Students with Disabilities	53	1	1.9%	--	--	--	--
Students Receiving Migrant Education Services	0	0	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Foster Youth	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

Double dashes (--) appear in the table when the number of students is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

Note: The number of students tested includes students that did not receive a score; however the number of students tested is not the number that was used to calculate the achievement level percentages. The achievement level percentages are calculated using students with scores.

* Level 1 = Standard not met
 Level 2 = Standard nearly met
 Level 3 = Standard met
 Level 4 = Standard exceeded

Last updated: 1/22/2016

Mathematics - Grade 4

Student Group	Total Enrollment	Number Tested	Percent Tested	Percent Achievement Level 1*	Percent Achievement Level 2*	Percent Achievement Level 3*	Percent Achievement Level 4*
All Students	47	45	95.7%	2.0%	16.0%	36.0%	47.0%
Male	47	27	57.4%	4.0%	19.0%	30.0%	48.0%
Female	47	18	38.3%	0.0%	11.0%	44.0%	44.0%
Black or African American	47	1	2.1%	--	--	--	--
American Indian or Alaska Native	0	0	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Asian	47	18	38.3%	6.0%	17.0%	33.0%	44.0%
Filipino	47	1	2.1%	--	--	--	--
Hispanic or Latino	47	1	2.1%	--	--	--	--
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	0	0	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
White	47	5	10.6%	--	--	--	--
Two or More Races	47	19	40.4%	0.0%	16.0%	37.0%	47.0%
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	47	3	6.4%	--	--	--	--
English Learners	47	2	4.3%	--	--	--	--
Students with Disabilities	47	1	2.1%	--	--	--	--
Students Receiving Migrant Education Services	0	0	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Foster Youth	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

Double dashes (--) appear in the table when the number of students is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

Note: The number of students tested includes students that did not receive a score; however the number of students tested is not the number that was used to calculate the achievement level percentages. The achievement level percentages are calculated using students with scores.

- * Level 1 = Standard not met
- Level 2 = Standard nearly met
- Level 3 = Standard met
- Level 4 = Standard exceeded

Last updated: 1/22/2016

Mathematics - Grade 5

Student Group	Total Enrollment	Number Tested	Percent Tested	Percent Achievement Level 1*	Percent Achievement Level 2*	Percent Achievement Level 3*	Percent Achievement Level 4*
All Students	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Male	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Female	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Black or African American	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
American Indian or Alaska Native	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Asian	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Filipino	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Hispanic or Latino	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
White	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Two or More Races	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
English Learners	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Students with Disabilities	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Students Receiving Migrant Education Services	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Foster Youth	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

Double dashes (--) appear in the table when the number of students is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

Note: The number of students tested includes students that did not receive a score; however the number of students tested is not the number that was used to calculate the achievement level percentages. The achievement level percentages are calculated using students with scores.

- * Level 1 = Standard not met
- Level 2 = Standard nearly met
- Level 3 = Standard met
- Level 4 = Standard exceeded

Last updated: 1/22/2016

Mathematics - Grade 6

Student Group	Total Enrollment	Number Tested	Percent Tested	Percent Achievement Level 1*	Percent Achievement Level 2*	Percent Achievement Level 3*	Percent Achievement Level 4*
All Students	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Male	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Female	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Black or African American	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
American Indian or Alaska Native	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Asian	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Filipino	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Hispanic or Latino	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
White	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Two or More Races	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
English Learners	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Students with Disabilities	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Students Receiving Migrant Education Services	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Foster Youth	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

Double dashes (--) appear in the table when the number of students is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

Note: The number of students tested includes students that did not receive a score; however the number of students tested is not the number that was used to calculate the achievement level percentages. The achievement level percentages are calculated using students with scores.

- * Level 1 = Standard not met
- Level 2 = Standard nearly met
- Level 3 = Standard met
- Level 4 = Standard exceeded

Last updated: 1/22/2016

Mathematics - Grade 7

Student Group	Total Enrollment	Number Tested	Percent Tested	Percent Achievement Level 1*	Percent Achievement Level 2*	Percent Achievement Level 3*	Percent Achievement Level 4*
All Students	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Male	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Female	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Black or African American	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
American Indian or Alaska Native	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Asian	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Filipino	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Hispanic or Latino	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
White	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Two or More Races	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
English Learners	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Students with Disabilities	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Students Receiving Migrant Education Services	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Foster Youth	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

Double dashes (--) appear in the table when the number of students is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

Note: The number of students tested includes students that did not receive a score; however the number of students tested is not the number that was used to calculate the achievement level percentages. The achievement level percentages are calculated using students with scores.

- * Level 1 = Standard not met
- Level 2 = Standard nearly met
- Level 3 = Standard met
- Level 4 = Standard exceeded

Last updated: 1/22/2016

Mathematics - Grade 8

Student Group	Total Enrollment	Number Tested	Percent Tested	Percent Achievement Level 1*	Percent Achievement Level 2*	Percent Achievement Level 3*	Percent Achievement Level 4*
All Students	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Male	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Female	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Black or African American	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
American Indian or Alaska Native	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Asian	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Filipino	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Hispanic or Latino	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
White	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Two or More Races	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
English Learners	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Students with Disabilities	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Students Receiving Migrant Education Services	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Foster Youth	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

Double dashes (--) appear in the table when the number of students is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

Note: The number of students tested includes students that did not receive a score; however the number of students tested is not the number that was used to calculate the achievement level percentages. The achievement level percentages are calculated using students with scores.

- * Level 1 = Standard not met
- Level 2 = Standard nearly met
- Level 3 = Standard met
- Level 4 = Standard exceeded

Last updated: 1/22/2016

Mathematics - Grade 11

Student Group	Total Enrollment	Number Tested	Percent Tested	Percent Achievement Level 1*	Percent Achievement Level 2*	Percent Achievement Level 3*	Percent Achievement Level 4*
All Students	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Male	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Female	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Black or African American	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
American Indian or Alaska Native	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Asian	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Filipino	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Hispanic or Latino	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
White	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Two or More Races	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
English Learners	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Students with Disabilities	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Students Receiving Migrant Education Services	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Foster Youth	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

Double dashes (--) appear in the table when the number of students is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

Note: The number of students tested includes students that did not receive a score; however the number of students tested is not the number that was used to calculate the achievement level percentages. The achievement level percentages are calculated using students with scores.

* Level 1 = Standard not met
 Level 2 = Standard nearly met
 Level 3 = Standard met
 Level 4 = Standard exceeded

Last updated: 1/22/2016

California Standards Tests Results by Student Group in Science (School Year 2014-15)

Student Group	Percent of Students Scoring at Proficient or Advanced
All Students in the LEA	--
All Students at the School	--
Male	--
Female	--
Black or African American	--
American Indian or Alaska Native	--
Asian	--
Filipino	--
Hispanic or Latino	--
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	--
White	--

Two or More Races	--
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	--
English Learners	--
Students with Disabilities	--
Students Receiving Migrant Education Services	--
Foster Youth	--

Note: Scores are not shown when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy. Double dashes (--) appear in the table when the number of students tested is ten or less.

Last updated: 1/22/2016

Career Technical Education Programs (School Year 2014-15)

For the school year 2014-2015 we were a K-4 school and do not offer career and technical education programs

Last updated: 1/22/2016

Courses for University of California and/or California State University Admission

UC/CSU Course Measure	Percent
2014-15 Pupils Enrolled in Courses Required for UC/CSU Admission	0.0%
2013-14 Graduates Who Completed All Courses Required for UC/CSU Admission	0.0%

State Priority: Other Pupil Outcomes

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: Other Pupil Outcomes (Priority 8):

- Pupil outcomes in the subject areas of English, mathematics, and physical education

C. Engagement

State Priority: Parental Involvement

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: Parental Involvement (Priority 3):

- Efforts the school district makes to seek parent input in making decisions for the school district and each schoolsite

Opportunities for Parental Involvement - Most Recent Year

The Charter School recognizes that children learn best when parents are engaged in their education by understanding the school's education program, supporting learning at home and being involved in the school community.

To encourage participation of parents, guardians and extended family members in all aspects of school life, the Charter School features:

- *Parent Learning Events and Curriculum Nights:* Scheduled at the beginning of the year, these three to five 1-3 hour sessions in the evenings or on the weekend allow parents to deepen their understanding of the Charter School's curriculum, experience teaching methodologies first-hand, or learn strategies tactics for supporting their student's learning in school and at home.
- *Participation in school decision-making:* Families participate in school decision-making in a wide variety of ways. The Family Support Organization committees provide many opportunities for involvement in the life of the School community. Two members of the Board of Directors are elected by the parents and guardians of Yu Ming students. Family members with relevant experience or expertise serve as members of Board committees as determined by the Committee Chair. Parents are part of the Charter School's Hiring Committee for Principal. Families are invited to give input annually during the development of the Local Control Accountability Plan (LCAP). Families and any members of the public can attend meetings of the Board of Directors and provide public comments in accordance with Brown Act.
- *Opportunities to evaluate the School and its staff:* Each spring, parents will be asked to complete a survey evaluating the Charter School, the Principal and their child's teacher. The survey results will be reviewed by the Charter School Board of Directors and staff and are considered in setting school objectives and in the annual performance review process for Principal and staff.

In addition, the Charter School encourages each family to volunteer, and provides a variety of in-school and out-of-school opportunities to match with family members' different skills, interests and schedules. Examples include helping in classrooms, at lunch or around school, leading extra-curricular activities, coaching sports teams, assisting with event planning and materials preparation, attending field trips, attending FSO meetings, serving on parent committees or as room parents and fundraising or assisting with fundraising drives. Specific volunteer opportunities and needs are determined by the Principal, staff and FSO Council and communicated to parents through established channels. A volunteer coordinator (a volunteer role held by a parent) helps match families to needs. The Charter School maintains a simple system for families to record service hours, which is used to summarize and celebrate total volunteer contributions from the community. The School's target is 30 volunteer hours per family, although a family's inability to volunteer in school service activities does not exclude any child from any school activities or affect the School's support of the student.

State Priority: Pupil Engagement

Last updated: 2/1/2016


The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: Pupil Engagement (Priority 5):

- High school dropout rates; and
- High school graduation rates

Dropout Rate and Graduation Rate (Four-Year Cohort Rate)

Indicator	School			District			State		
	2011-12	2012-13	2013-14	2011-12	2012-13	2013-14	2011-12	2012-13	2013-14
Dropout Rate	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	13.1%	11.4%	11.5%
Graduation Rate	0.00	0.00	0.00	79.80	80.80	82.90	78.87	80.44	80.95

Dropout/Graduation Rate (Four-Year Cohort Rate) Chart



Last updated: 1/22/2016

Completion of High School Graduation Requirements

Student Group	Graduating Class of 2014		
	School	District	State
All Students	--	109	84
Black or African American	--	108	76
American Indian or Alaska Native	--	33	78
Asian	--	100	92
Filipino	--	200	96
Hispanic or Latino	--	96	81
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	--	50	83
White	--	172	89
Two or More Races	--	71	82
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	--	125	81
English Learners	--	79	50
Students with Disabilities	--	103	61
Foster Youth	--	--	--



State Priority: School Climate

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: School Climate (Priority 6):

- Pupil suspension rates;
- Pupil expulsion rates; and
- Other local measures on the sense of safety

Suspensions and Expulsions

Rate	School			District			State		
	2012-13	2013-14	2014-15	2012-13	2013-14	2014-15	2012-13	2013-14	2014-15
Suspensions	0.0	0.9	1.2	3.7	3.0	5.5	5.1	4.4	3.8
Expulsions	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.1	0.1



Last updated: 1/22/2016

School Safety Plan - Most Recent Year

The school has two separate Safety Plans, one for each campus. These plans were revised in the summer of 2014 in order to be ready for the coming school year. Staff were trained in the first week of school (August 12th to 15th) on the salient points of the plan and in more detail on the protocols for:

- Intruder alerts
- Earthquake drills
- Fire Drills

Intruder drills and earthquake drills are conducted once per trimester and fire drills are conducted once per month.

For fire drills students are escorted by teachers off campus to a collection point where attendance is checked before returning to campus. The goal is to have all students exit the building from the nearest exit and to have the school site checked by administration within 2 minutes. Emergency backpacks, kept in the rooms by the door are taken out to the collection point by the teachers.

For earthquake protocol we have 2 procedures, one is for staying on the campus and one if for exiting the campus. The collection point for the former is on the blacktop at Alcatraz (in the middle as far away from buildings and power lines that could fall). The collection point at Herzog is in the courtyard towards the middle of the open space. The offsite collection points are the same as for the fire drills.

Lock down/ intruder alert protocol requires both sites to use an intercom / two way radios to communicate the initiation of lockdown. This is an on-site drill.

Last updated: 1/22/2016

D. Other SARC Information

The information in this section is required to be in the SARC but is not included in the state priorities for LCFF.

Adequate Yearly Progress Overall and by Criteria (School Year 2014-15)

AYP Criteria	School	District	State
Made AYP Overall	Yes	No	
Met Participation Rate - English Language Arts	Yes	No	
Met Participation Rate - Mathematics	Yes	No	
Met Percent Proficient - English Language Arts	N/A	N/A	
Met Percent Proficient - Mathematics	N/A	N/A	
Met Attendance Rate			
Met Graduation Rate	N/A	Yes	

Last updated: 1/22/2016

Federal Intervention Program (School Year 2015-16)

Indicator	School	District
Program Improvement Status		In PI
First Year of Program Improvement		2008-2009
Year in Program Improvement		Year 3
Number of Schools Currently in Program Improvement	N/A	5
Percent of Schools Currently in Program Improvement	N/A	62.5%

Note: Cells with NA values do not require data.

Last updated: 1/22/2016

Average Class Size and Class Size Distribution (Elementary)

Grade Level	2012-13			2013-14			2014-15		
	Average Class Size	Number of Classes *		Average Class Size	Number of Classes *		Average Class Size	Number of Classes *	
		1-20	21-32		33+	1-20		21-32	33+
K	28.0		2	26.0		2	26.0		2
1	26.0		2	28.0		2	27.0		2
2	26.0		2	26.0		2	26.0		2
3				26.0		2	26.0		2
4							24.0		2
5									
6									
Other									

* Number of classes indicates how many classes fall into each size category (a range of total students per class).

Last updated: 1/22/2016

Average Class Size and Class Size Distribution (Secondary)

Subject	2012-13				2013-14				2014-15			
	Average Class Size	Number of Classes *			Average Class Size	Number of Classes *			Average Class Size	Number of Classes *		
		1-22	23-32	33+		1-22	23-32	33+		1-22	23-32	33+
English												
Mathematics												
Science												
Social Science												

* Number of classes indicates how many classrooms fall into each size category (a range of total students per classroom). At the secondary school level, this information is reported by subject area rather than grade level.

Last updated: 1/22/2016

Academic Counselors and Other Support Staff (School Year 2014-15)

Title	Number of FTE* Assigned to School	Average Number of Students per Academic Counselor
Academic Counselor		
Counselor (Social/Behavioral or Career Development)	0.6	N/A
Library Media Teacher (librarian)		N/A
Library Media Services Staff (paraprofessional)		N/A
Psychologist		N/A
Social Worker		N/A
Nurse	1.0	N/A
Speech/Language/Hearing Specialist	0.4	N/A
Resource Specialist (non-teaching)	0.7	N/A
Other		N/A

Note: Cells with N/A values do not require data.

*One Full Time Equivalent (FTE) equals one staff member working full time; one FTE could also represent two staff members who each work 50 percent of full time.

Last updated: 1/28/2016

Expenditures Per Pupil and School Site Teacher Salaries (Fiscal Year 2013-14)

Level	Total Expenditures Per Pupil	Expenditures Per Pupil (Supplemental/Restricted)	Expenditures Per Pupil (Basic/Unrestricted)	Average Teacher Salary
School Site	\$9251.8	\$812.4	\$8439.4	\$43007.1
District	N/A	N/A	\$8439.4	\$43007.1
Percent Difference – School Site and District	N/A	N/A	--	--
State	N/A	N/A	\$5348.0	\$0.0
Percent Difference – School Site and State	N/A	N/A	--	--

Note: Cells with N/A values do not require data.

Last updated: 1/29/2016

Types of Services Funded (Fiscal Year 2014-15)



Yu Ming Charter School is dedicated to ensuring student success, and in the 2014-2015 school year we implemented a variety of services in order to support our students. To begin, the school hired a part time Professional Development coach who was in charge of providing weekly professional development opportunities for teachers to improve their teaching practices. This allowed for more differentiation and targeted support in the classroom. In order to support students in addition to classroom wide systems, Yu Ming also had a reading coach in both English and Mandarin. These coaches provided push in services to assist students in the classroom, as well as pull out sessions to continue to make up for any gaps in student learning. The school also hired an in-house Special Education teacher/Coordinator to provide IEP related services and facilitate IEP compliance for students who required more intensive support. The school continued their contracts with Lincoln Child Center to collaborate and provide behavioral services as well as OT services. The school also continued their contractual relationship with Faltz&Associates to provide speech/language therapy for students in need of the service. Finally, Yu Ming developed the CARES system which was a school-wide PBS (positive behavior system) which helped to facilitate social/emotional growth for all students. Students who required additional social/emotional support as part of IEP services were provided counseling through a contracted psychologist.

Last updated: 1/29/2016

Teacher and Administrative Salaries (Fiscal Year 2013-14)

Category	District Amount	State Average For Districts In Same Category
Beginning Teacher Salary	--	--
Mid-Range Teacher Salary	--	--
Highest Teacher Salary	--	--
Average Principal Salary (Elementary)	--	--
Average Principal Salary (Middle)	--	--
Average Principal Salary (High)	--	--
Superintendent Salary	--	--
Percent of Budget for Teacher Salaries	--	--
Percent of Budget for Administrative Salaries	--	--

For detailed information on salaries, see the CDE Certificated Salaries & Benefits Web page at <http://www.cde.ca.gov/ds/fd/cs/> .



Last updated: 1/22/2016

Advanced Placement Courses (School Year 2014-15)

Subject	Number of AP Courses Offered*	Percent of Students In AP Courses
Computer Science		N/A
English		N/A
Fine and Performing Arts		N/A
Foreign Language		N/A
Mathematics		N/A
Science		N/A
Social Science		N/A
All Courses		--

Note: Cells with N/A values do not require data.

*Where there are student course enrollments.

Note : AP means Advanced Placement.

Last updated: 1/22/2016

Professional Development – Most Recent Three Years

Over the last three years Yu Ming Charter School teaching staff has dedicated at least 6 full days to professional development in addition to 2 full hrs every Friday. When teachers accept an offer from Yu Ming, they make a commitment to attend 6 full day trainings, participate in after school workshops, and professional learning communities that take place once a week during the school day. Teachers also have the opportunity to receive stipends to seek additional training outside our provided professional development series. Over the last three years, the major areas of focus have been in curriculum development, Common Core implementation- with a specific focus on Mandarin and English Literacy through a newly adopted writing curriculum, balanced literacy, and guided reading; school and classroom culture, and diversity. This year, Common Core professional development has focused on supporting teachers to utilize mathematical practices in instruction and assessment. This year, we have also dedicated a large portion of professional development to implementing and aligning guided reading practices in Mandarin and English. The professional development for Common Core and Classroom and School culture has been selected based on student achievement data, culture surveys, and classroom observations. School culture and climate professional development has been focused on Responsive Classroom and PBIS. This development has been focused on classroom routines, culture building, and school wide systems to support students. Diversity training has been focused on inclusion and cultural competence building. Diversity trainers have included Our Family Coalition, The National Equity Project, and other independent consultants.

As we have transitioned from being a new school to reaching full elementary capacity, we have invested time in developing teachers to make curricular decisions for the Mandarin program aligned to our charter and vision. As a result, this year, we piloted 21st Century Modern Chinese Language curriculum, Better Immersion, and MeiZhouHuaYu and have provided development based on implementation for each. Teachers have also attended and presented at the ACTFL, CLEF conferences focused on Chinese Instruction and Development. To foster the development of teachers who make data based decisions in both Mandarin and English, we have begun data cycles of inquiry each trimester to help us reflect on student achievement, adjust practice, and plan student centered instruction.

Teachers are supported in several ways during professional development. Teachers receive one on one coaching through classroom observations and feedback with the Head of School, Assistant Principal (2015-2016), Head of English, and Director of Curriculum and Instruction for Chinese (2014-2016). Several staff members including stipended lead teachers also serve as coaches and mentors providing coaching and targeted training for teachers.

Last updated: 2/1/2016